

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM United States Patent and Trademark Offic Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,128	03/31/2004	Chandra Shekhar Nautiyal	026063-00003	3720
4372	7590 06/29/20	06	EXAMINER	
ARENT FO	X PLLC		CLAF	RDY, S
1050 CONN	ECTICUT AVENUE	N.W.		
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1617	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/813,128	NAUTIYAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	S. Mark Clardy	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 At	ugust 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/813,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-69 are pending in this application which claims benefit of US Provisional Application 60/458,372, filed March 31, 2003.

Applicants' claims are drawn to synergistic fermented compositions, methods of making them, and methods of using them for plant growth promotion, the compositions comprising:

- a) Bovine urine
- b) One or both of the following crushed plant material:

c) Optional carriers³.

Claims 36-39, 45, 46, 58-60 are objected to because of the following informalities: the wording in claims 36-39, 45, and 46 is awkward. The term "log unit" in claims 58-60 is not clear. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 49 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim17. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Stating that the combination "is most effective" does not further limit the independent claim.

Applicants are requested to clarify the meaning of the phrase "pres mud" (claim 6).

¹ Azadirachta indica

² Allium sativum

³ Claim 6: vermicompost, soil, peat, rice husk, vermiculite, carboxymethylcellulose, perlite, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, talc, fermented pres mud

Application/Control Number: 10/813,128 Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Blum et al (US 5,885,600), Grainge et al⁴, and Rausing et al (US 3,165,394).

Blum et al teach natural insect repellents comprising materials such as neem extract (col 3) and garlic extract (col 5, line 1) for use in planting material (abstract).

Grainge et al teach that the pesticidal properties of neem and garlic were well known.

Rausing et al teach a process for making compost from cattle urine and manure (col 1, lines 62-63), with additional components such as peat moss (col 2, lines 7-14).

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references in order to add natural pesticidal material to planting materials such as compost.

Thus it would have been *prima facie* obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to have combined applicants' bovine urine, and one or more naturally pesticidal agents such as neem or garlic extract because the prior art teaches that these agents were known to have utility in planting material, and because cow urine and manure were also known components of planting material or compost.

No unobvious or unexpected results are noted; no claim is allowed.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

⁴ Grainge et al. Handbook of Plants with Pest-Control Properties. P. xii-xiv, 17-18, 43-45. 1988.

Art Unit: 1617

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is 571-272-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Mark Clardy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617 Page 4

June 23, 2006